

REMARKS


The claim objections and § 112 rejection have been addressed. Withdrawal is respectfully requested.

Claims 2-4 stand rejected under § 102 on the basis of Kim et al. '144. Applicants traverse this rejection because Kim et al. do not disclose (or suggest) an overhanging portion extending beyond a borderline of a second electrode, as in amended claim 2. See overhanging portion 16C in Figs. 3 and 4. In Figs. 6A, 6B, 7 and 8 of Kim, an overhanging portion extending beyond the boundary 19 (borderline) of the second electrode 20 is not disclosed. Further, a description of such an overhanging portion is not found in Kim. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of independent claim 2, and dependent claims 3 and 4, is respectfully requested.

For the foregoing reasons, applicants believe that this case is in condition for allowance, which is respectfully requested. The examiner should call applicants' attorney if an interview would expedite prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,

GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.

By 
Patrick G. Burns
Registration No. 29,367

June 7, 2005
300 South Wacker Drive
Suite 2500
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone: 312.360.0080
Facsimile: 312.360.9315
Customer No. 24978